Condensed Item Analysis Report

MKT-152-1 Troy McKay

3/11/15

Troy McKay

1. The objectives for the course were made clear to me early in the course.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.83
Strongly Agree	15	83.33	
Agree	3	16.67	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree		,	
NA	0	0.00	

2. The instructor has accomplished $\it I$ is accomplished the objectives of the course

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.61
Strongly Agree	11	61.11	
Agree	7	38.89	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
NA	0	0.00	

3. My needs/goals have been satisfactorily met/or are being met.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.56
Strongly Agree	10	55.56	
Agree	8	44.44	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

4. My interest in the general area has been stimulated by this course.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.50
Strongly Agree	9	50.00	
Agree	9	50.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

5. I understand WHY I need to learn what is being taught.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.78
Strongly Agree	14	77.78	
Agree	4	22.22	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

6. OVERALL I would rate my understanding of objectives.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.67
Strongly Agree	12	66.67	
Agree	6	33.33	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree		1	
NA	0	0.00	

7. The instructor used class time effectively.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.50
Strongly Agree	9	50.00	
Agree	9	50.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

8. The instructor was readily available for class work assistance when needed.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.72
Strongly Agree	13	72.22	
Agree	5	27.78	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree		,	
NA	0	0.00	

9. The instructor was well prepared for each class or laboratory.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.61
Strongly Agree	11	61.11	
Agree	7	38.89	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
NA	0	0.00	

11. The instructor made sure the major points in the lectures discussions and demonstrations were clear.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.72
Strongly Agree	13	72.22	
Agree	5	27.78	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

13. The instructor taught the subject matter at a level that I can understand.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.72
Strongly Agree	13	72.22	
Agree	5	27.78	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

15. The instructor used a variety of techniques audio-visual hands-on demonstration to assure understanding of the subject.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.61
Strongly Agree	11	61.11	
Agree	7	38.89	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

17. The instructor made constructive comments written or oral concerning papers or exams

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.56
Strongly Agree	11	61.11	
Agree	6	33.33	
Disagree	1	5.56	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

10. Lectures were expansions of the text material rather than repetitions of the text.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.72
Strongly Agree	13	72.22	
Agree	5	27.78	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
NA	0	0.00	

12. The instructor encouraged students to think for themselves by raising challenging questions or problems for discussion.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.56
Strongly Agree	10	55.56	
Agree	8	44.44	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

14. Demonstrations were skillful and pertinent to learning.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.44
Strongly Agree	11	61.11	
Agree	6	33.33	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
NA	1	5.56	

16. OVERALL I would rate the methods of teaching

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.67
Strongly Agree	12	66.67	
Agree	6	33.33	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

18. The instructor told students how they would be evaluated in the course.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.61
Strongly Agree	11	61.11	
Agree	7	38.89	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

19. The evaluation methods were fair and impartial.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.67
Strongly Agree	12	66.67	
Agree	6	33.33	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
NA	0	0.00	

21. Tests were designed for learning and performance

rather than memorization only.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.50
Strongly Agree	9	50.00	
Agree	9	50.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

23. The instructor seemed to know when students didn't understand material.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.67
Strongly Agree	12	66.67	
Agree	6	33.33	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

25. I felt free to ask questions or express my opinions.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.78
Strongly Agree	14	77.78	
Agree	4	22.22	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

27. When I had something to say the instructor listened to me and heard what I said.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.72
Strongly Agree	13	72.22	
Agree	5	27.78	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
NA	0	0.00	

20. Tests covered the important aspects of the course.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.72
Strongly Agree	13	72.22	
Agree	5	27.78	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree NA	0	0.00	

22. OVERLL I would rate methods of evaluation

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.44
Strongly Agree	8	44.44	
Agree	10	55.56	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree		·	
NA	0	0.00	

24. The instructor seemed genuinely concerned with my progress and was actively helpful.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.50
Strongly Agree	12	66.67	
Agree	5	27.78	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	1	5.56	

26. The instructor made students feel important and treated them as important persons.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.61
Strongly Agree	11	61.11	
Agree	7	38.89	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	0	0.00	

28. The instructor admitted his or her mistakes.

R	esponse	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.67
S	trongly Agree	13	72.22	
Α	gree	4	22.22	
D	isagree	1	5.56	
	trongly isagree	0	0.00	
N	Α	0	0.00	

29. The instructor cared for students and let the student know.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.39
Strongly Agree	10	55.56	
Agree	7	38.89	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
NA	1	5.56	

31. The textbook for this course is written at a level I can understand and use.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.61
Strongly Agree	11	61.11	
Agree	7	38.89	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree		·	
NA	0	0.00	

33. To my knowledge the laboratory equipment is like or similar to equipment that is used on the job.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1.94
Strongly Agree	5	27.78	
Agree	5	27.78	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	8	44.44	

35. OVERALL I would rate instructional materials

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.11
Strongly Agree	8	44.44	
Agree	8	44.44	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
NA	2	11.11	

30. OVERALL I would rate my ability to relate to students

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.67
Strongly Agree	12	66.67	
Agree	6	33.33	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
NA	0	0.00	

32. The supplementary readings handouts pamphlets are written at a level I can understand and use.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.61
Strongly Agree	11	61.11	
Agree	7	38.89	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
NA	0	0.00	

34. The laboratory equipment was kept in good repair.

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1.44
Strongly Agree	2	11.11	
Agree	5	27.78	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Strongly Disagree	3	16.67	
NA	8	44.44	